



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/870,279	05/30/2001	Kazuyuki Sakamaki	211A 3123	7301

7590 11/13/2003

Koda & Androlia
2029 Century Park East
Suite 1430
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3024

EXAMINER

MCANULTY, TIMOTHY P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3682

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/870,279

Applicant(s)

SAKAMAKI, KAZUYUKI

Examiner

Timothy P McAnulty

Art Unit

3682

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 September 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
2. Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10-278124 in view of Williams et al.

JP 10-278124 discloses in figures 1a and 1b, a plastic gear having teeth 3 on an annular portion thereof; a shaft supporting member 2; a first annular rib 5; a plurality of radially extending diametrical ribs 7 extending from an innermost side of said first annular rib toward said shaft supporting member; and a first web portion 4 located between said first annular rib and said teeth. JP 10-278124 does not disclose said web portion being corrugated. However, Williams teaches in figures 1 and 3 and in lines 23-25 of column 2, a plastic gear having a corrugated web portion, wherein said web portion may comprise curvilinear, two-sided, or three-sided corrugations. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of JP 10-278124 in view of Williams et al. to form the first web portion with corrugations to increase the axial strength of the gear without significantly increasing the required material of construction.

Regarding claim 6, the reference combination previously set forth discloses the basic apparatus except for the thickness of said first web portion being the same as a thickness of a second web portion located between said shaft supporting member and second annular ring 6. However, it would have been obvious as a matter of engineering design choice to make the thickness of said first web portion the same as the thickness of said second web portion, because

Art Unit: 3682

such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10-278124 in view of Williams et al. as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Mlenjnek et al.

The reference combination previously set forth discloses the basic apparatus but does not disclose said plastic gear used to drive an image-forming device. However, Mlenjnek et al. teaches in figure 4 a laser printer drive train having drive means 31,32 which drive a photoconductor drum 37 through a plastic gear 18. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the reference combination previously set forth in view of the teachings of Mlenjnek et al. to utilize a corrugated plastic gear in a drive train of photoconductor apparatus to eliminate vibration transmitted to said drum and thus improve print quality.

Response to Arguments

4. Williams et al. clearly teaches a plastic gear having corrugations to provide increased strength, see lines 44-59 of column 1. As such, the teachings of Williams et al. are applicable to modify the web portions of the plastic gear of JP 10-278124 to increase the axial strength thereof, regardless of where those web portions are located on a gear. As such, the teachings of Williams et al. apply to increasing the strength of the web portion 4 located between said rib 5 and the teeth 3 of JP10-278124 and are not limited to only increasing the strength of a web portion between a shaft supporting member and an outer tooth portion.

Art Unit: 3682

The examiner recognizes that references cannot be arbitrarily combined and that there must be some reason why one skilled in the art would be motivated to make the proposed combination of primary and secondary references. *In re Nomiya*, 184 USPQ 607 (CCPA 1975). However, there is no requirement that a motivation to make the modification be expressly articulated. The test for combining references is what the combination of the disclosures taken as a whole would suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Simon*, 174 USPQ (CCPA 1972); *In re McLaughlin*, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). References are evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosures. *In re Bozek*, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969). Accordingly the teachings of Williams et al. are applicable.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.


Art Unit: 3682

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy P McAnulty whose telephone number is 703.308.8684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on 703.308.3668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.872.9326 for regular communications and 703.872.9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

tpm 
November 8, 2003


DAVID A. BUCCI
SUPERVISORY ENGINEER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3000